

#33
PATENT
0599-0158P 5.5.04

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FEB 20 2004

Applicant: Nobutaka IIDA et al. Conf.: 7804
Appl. No.: 08/809,621 Group: 1642
Filed: June 2, 1997 Examiner: CANELLA K.
For: DRUG FOR TREATING BONE DISORDERS

STATUS INQUIRY LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

February 11, 2004

Sir:

This is the second request to advise the undersigned as to the status of the above-identified application.

A Status Inquiry Letter was previously filed on November 28, 2003 in connection with the above-identified application. The Examiner indicated in a telephone conversation on February 10, 2004 that she had not received the Status Inquiry Letter and that it was not logged into PAIR. A copy of the Status Inquiry Letter and postcard showing receipt of the Status Inquiry Letter by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is attached hereto.

In the Interview held on June 19, 2003, the Examiner indicated that the finality of the Office Action would be withdrawn. A copy of the Interview Summary is attached hereto.

Please provide a new Office Action as soon as possible.

Please call Kecia Reynolds, Reg. No. 47,021 at the telephone number listed below if there are any questions with regard to the present request.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 
Andrew D. Meikle, #32,868

ADM/KJR/jao
0599-0158P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

Papers Filed herewith on: November 28, 2003
DOCKET NO.: 0599-0158 ATTY.: ADM/KJR
APPLICANT(S): Nebutaka JIDA et al.
APPLN. NO: 08/609,621 FILED: June 2, 1997
PAT NO.:

FEB 20 2004


- ☐ New Application with Transmittal Letter
☐ Utility ☐ Design ☐ CIP ☐ PCT ☐ Provisional
☐ Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(b) ☐ CONT ☐ DIV
☐ Filing Under 37 CFR 1.114(RCE)
☐ Specification Consisting of: _____ pages
☐ Combined Declaration & Power of Attorney
☐ Assignment / Cover Letter
☐ Letter to Official Draftsman
☐ Drawings _____ Sheets ☐ Formal ☐ Informal ☐ Red-Ink
☐ Completion of Filing Requirements, PCT/DO/EO/905
or Formalities Letter and Executed Declaration
☐ Priority Document(s) / Cover Letter, No. Doc. _____
☐ Amendment: _____
☐ Transmittal Ltr ☐ Large Entity ☐ Small Entity
☐ Response
☐ Information Disc. Stmt. PTO-1449(s) _____ doc(s)
☐ PTO/ISA/210
☐ Notice of Appeal ☐ Appeal Brief
☐ Issue Fee Transmittal ☐ Sequence Listing
☐ FEES: _____
☐ Letter: _____
☒ Other: Status Inquiry Letter;
Copy of Interview Summary

DOCKET NO. 0599-0158P



Receipt is hereby acknowledged of the papers filed as
indicated in connection with the above identified case.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Due Date: _____
Handcarry: _____

Interview Summary



Application No. 08/809,621	Applicant(s) Nobutaka et al	
Examiner CANELLA	Art Unit 1642	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) KAREN CANELLA
 (2) KECIA REYNOLDS

(3) _____
 (4) _____

FEB 20 2004

Date of Interview _____

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The finality of the office action of Paper No. 30, mailed July 19, 2002 is withdrawn after review and reconsideration by the Examiner. The examiner will issue a new office action.

Discussed allowable subject matter within the pending claims and amendments to overcome the outstanding 102(b) rejections. The examiner will re-consider withdrawing the 103(a) rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Karen A. Canella
 Examiner's signature, if required